

## SAMREC Committee Meeting Minutes

<b>Date of Meeting</b>	23 February 2023	<b>Time</b>	09:45															
<b>Chaired by:</b>	Tarryn Flitton	<b>Location</b>	Zoom															
<b>Minutes prepared by:</b>	<b>Ann Donnelly</b>																	
<p><b>Present:</b></p> <table> <tr> <td>Maria Antoniadis</td> <td>Tania Marshall</td> <td>Seef Vermaak</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Annalie de Bruyn</td> <td>Matt Mullins</td> <td>Nicole Wansbury</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Adrienne Elliot</td> <td>Jacques Nel</td> <td>Bruce Williamson</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tarryn Flitton</td> <td>Godknows Njowa</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Linda Iaccheri</td> <td>Kelly Redman</td> <td></td> </tr> </table> <p><b>SAIMM Secretariat:</b> Camielah Jardine</p> <p><b>Apologies:</b> Ken Lomborg</p>				Maria Antoniadis	Tania Marshall	Seef Vermaak	Annalie de Bruyn	Matt Mullins	Nicole Wansbury	Adrienne Elliot	Jacques Nel	Bruce Williamson	Tarryn Flitton	Godknows Njowa		Linda Iaccheri	Kelly Redman	
Maria Antoniadis	Tania Marshall	Seef Vermaak																
Annalie de Bruyn	Matt Mullins	Nicole Wansbury																
Adrienne Elliot	Jacques Nel	Bruce Williamson																
Tarryn Flitton	Godknows Njowa																	
Linda Iaccheri	Kelly Redman																	
<b>Topic</b>	<b>Discussion</b>																	
<b>1. Welcome</b>	Tarryn Flitton welcomed everyone to the meeting.																	
<b>2. Minutes of previous meeting</b>	<p>The previous meeting notes were reviewed and accepted with the following changes.</p> <p>Correct spelling of Annalie de Bruyn</p> <p>Minutes proposed by Annalie de Bruyn</p> <p>Minutes seconded by Godknows Njowa</p>																	
<b>3. Action items from previous meetings</b>	<p>Action items were reviewed and discussed.</p> <p>SAMREC meetings to be held online and the last meeting of the year to be face to face.</p>																	
<b>4. SAMCODES App</b>	Tarryn Flitton provided feedback on the App. Sponsorship was discussed.																	

<b>5. CRIRSCO News</b>	No CRIRSCO news was reported.
<b>6. ESG</b>	<p>Seef stated there were a number of workshops that the SAMESG committee was working on, e.g. update of the SAMESG Guideline. One area where there had been significant progress was to table within the working group what they saw as the ESG factors for reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Mineral Reserves that potentially needed to be incorporated within a future SAMREC Code. This was currently being discussed within the working group.</p> <p>In addition, a document had been drafted that showed potential barriers for the successful completion. Obviously one of the biggest concerns was not to let the ESG mushroom into something that was not really manageable for the normal CP, i.e. into something that was very onerous. Additionally potential concerns going forward on how this would impact the JSE needed to be incorporated.</p> <p>One other workstream was the modification of the SAMREC Table 1. In this regard, they were seeking guidance from the SAMREC Committee whether Table 1 needed to be amended and adapted with ESG requirements. Seef requested guidance from the SAMREC committee on whether they need to modify the existing Table 1, or do we need to consider the later version dated 2019?</p> <p>Other work that was taking place was editing the SAMVAL Code with ESG parameters. Most of the other work was in the parking lot.</p> <p>In summary, it was clear that the work that was being done by the working group would impact significantly on the SAMREC and SAMVAL Codes and the accompanying Table 1s. It was not merely adding a paragraph; it was how to integrate and that would mean a revamp of the SAMREC and SAMVAL Codes. However, given the progress to date, Seef stated that draft copies of the work from the working group be tabled to the various SAMCODE committees and for peer review likely in late May or June 2023.</p> <p>The SAMREC and SAMVAL committees would then review and consider if changes are to be implemented once the documents were tabled and presented. Seef stated the SAMESG committee wanted to present both Table 1 and the proposed additions to the SAMREC Code and integration to the various committees for comment and editing when they were finalised by the committee and ready. It was preferable not to share the information in its current state as it was not yet finalised. Obviously, at some stage the idea was to get it to peer review beyond the committees, i.e. by the Readers' Panel, etc. That would be the first step for engagement. For the SAMCODES fraternity, the idea was not to bother people with an early rough draft. They would rather get something that they believed was a good version.</p> <p>Tarryn suggested that there could be a discussion on peoples' thoughts around that modification of Table 1, but there was no action from the committee at this point until the recommendations for both the SAMREC Code and SAMREC Table were presented in full. There would be a period of review, consideration and potential incorporation.</p>

As per the above SAMREC Committee meeting, where Mr Lomborg had been modifying changes, the SAMREC Committee should also be aware and consider getting a task team underway to consider other amendments, if necessary, beyond ESG; or whether other amendments were required to the SAMREC Code. There needed to be some proactive thought other than just ESG.

Tarryn believed that the SAMREC Code was probably nearing that update and that if SAMREC was going to be looking at incorporating ESG, whether there were any items in the parking lot that needed to be addressed. Everything that was being considered by CRIRSCO would be brought into the SAMREC Code. She asked for any input from the committee in terms of a SAMREC re-write.

Seef suggested that this should be on the agenda for the next meeting, in order to give people time to think about this. Tarryn asked Camielah to add this to the agenda. Committee members were asked to forward any suggestions in this regard to Ken Lomborg for discussion at the next meeting.

**Action:** Camielah Jardin / All members

Going back to the SAMREC inclusion of ESG, Tarryn add that the committee should wait for that submission in late May/June and would then review, comment and consider. There were two aspects that Seef had highlighted. The first one was that the potential barriers document (already finalised) had been put together, as well as key themes and expectations from the work stream. Tarryn advised that there were numerous items in the barrier document, e.g. not making ESG reporting too onerous on the CP and not making it a blanket all statement for all ESG; but bringing it back to Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve reporting as well as how that was reported in the RPEEE, Modifying Factors and not having these 'mushrooming' reports. Essentially it all came down to materiality and how you consider what was material to the investor which is linked to the concern by the JSE. She asked whether it would be beneficial to send this to the SAMREC committee for information. It was important for this committee to already know upfront what the barriers were to updating and integrating ESG into the Code. Seef agreed, but asked whether this should be sent out with the first draft and he believe it would be better to send the document in order to read everything in context.

Nicole advised that the community was made up of a vast range of people, e.g. Mineral Resource people, Mineral Reserve people, as well as the ESG people. What each group felt was very different and that was where, going forward, it had been decided to workshop this and sit together in a room and hash it out. As Seef said, she did not believe the point had been raised where there was full agreement on some issues. It was critical that everybody incorporated their opinions and the that materiality was key and it was valid for the different organisations. The question was how to incorporate that into the document that provided guidance. That came out of the stakeholder engagement; that there was some guidance as to common themes that needed to be considered in the Mineral Resource and Mineral Reserve reporting that could potentially be material from an ESG perspective. It was important to keep the size of the report manageable. She added that there was a lot of movement with the other Codes in terms of ESG and this was being

followed closely in order to ensure alignment. It was a work in progress and would probably take longer than initially anticipated. The aim was to keep it as brief as possible.

Annalie advised that she keep her finger on the pulse and adopt a 'wait and see' stance. She had made it clear around the JSE's stance from a regulatory perspective in terms of sustainable disclosure. Currently the JSE were in discussion with their regulator to assess where the best fit was for sustainable disclosure. Her concern was with the ESG, to make sure that, from a mining perspective, it did not find its way indirectly into the listing requirements for mining companies specifically. It seemed that it was more focused on the Modifying Factors and risks and she understood that there was a big focus currently on environmental issues and she understood that, from a Code perspective, people needed to give it a bit more attention and understand it a bit more in order to fully understand the implications.

Tarryn added that the other thing that she noticed in the barrier document was really around not over amplifying ESG as part of the Modifying Factors. ESG had always been in the Modifying Factors, but this just gave more guidance and it should not be over-emphasised.

Regarding SAMREC Table 1, there had been a working group where ESG was incorporated into this table and this was up for review. She asked whether there was anything that this committee needed to consider with Table 1. She asked how the committee was planning to incorporate ESG into Table 1.

Seef advised that the work was ongoing, but there was still work to be done. Certainly, the minimum requirements as would be captured and integrated into the report would need to be amended in Table 1. PERC had elected to throw in a lot of ESG requirements, grouped together. At this stage, the working group would prefer to integrate it so that ESG was not standing on its own; it was something throughout mineral reporting. JORC had also elected to integrate ESG throughout and had put into Table 1 what minimum requirements they would expect from the CP to discuss on ESG. It was clear that there needed to be some edits, amendments and additions to Table 1. The question was which Table 1 SAMREC wanted to be modified: the existing Table 1 in SAMREC, or CRIRSCO's Table 1.

The committee would have to do a mapping exercise to compare Table 1 to SAMREC with a rewrite; but Tarryn advised that she would use SAMREC and Table 1, not CRIRSCO and the subtle differences between the two could be looked at when doing the rewrite.

Seef advised that the differences were very small, with slight differences in numbers and line items, etc. These were not material, but JORC's new Table 1 had elected to use CRIRSCO and then modify that. He was personally quite happy to work off the base of the existing SAMREC Table 1.

Tania's personal preference was also to use the SAMREC Table 1 as well, for various reasons, but it was the committee's decision on that, with input from the SAMREC committee as to why they would recommend one or the other.

	<p>Tarryn asked whether anybody objected to the SAMREC Committee using the SAMREC Table 1 as the basis for the comments; or whether anyone felt that the CRIRSCO Table 1 should rather be considered. CRIRSCO's Table 1 was based largely on SAMREC's Table 1, with some additions. JORC's Table 1 was very different from those of SAMREC, PERC and CRIRSCO. In terms of numbering, one did not want to confuse people who had been using SAMREC Table 1 for the last couple of years in terms of reporting with a sudden change in numbering. With a rewrite of the Code, one could release a new SAMREC Table 1 taking that into consideration. Ms Antoniadis believed it made sense to her to go with SAMREC Table 1. There was unanimous agreement.</p> <p>Seef would take this back to the SAMESEG committee; that the suggestion was SAMREC Table 1 for now.  <b>Action:</b> Seef Vermaak</p>
<p><b>7. Technical Discussion</b></p>	<p>Tarryn advised that she did not have subjects to be discussion under 'Technical Discussions'. She invited the Committee to put forward any suggestions around technical aspects.</p> <p>Annalie asked, when a company had one single offset agreement for its products and that agreement expired and was not renewed, what the impact of this would be on the valuation of the entity as a project.</p> <p>Godknows suggested that there were different scenarios that could be considered, depending on whether this product was specific to that company.</p> <p>Annalie responded that everyone else could use the product, but the agreement with the company was that they would not deliver the product to anyone else. The agreement of not delivering to anyone else stands, but the company was not taking anything from you at that point in time. She suggested that this was a more detailed discussion that could be taken up in the valuation meeting. It was agreed. Annalie would raise this at the SAMVAL meeting.  <b>Action:</b> Annalie de Bruyn</p> <p>For the next meeting, as part of the technical discussions, members were asked if there were any discussions regarding whether to do a SAMREC rewrite and include those CRIRSCO definitions as ESG. That would be added to the agenda.  <b>Action:</b> Camielah Jardine</p>

<p><b>8. Training</b></p>	<p>Tania gave feedback regarding training. The main training for March was Introduction to SAMCODES Workshop which would take place on 15 and 22 March and would be a hybrid event held at the Mandela Mining Precinct. There would also be an online option.</p> <p>There were a number of people in the meeting who were on her list of speakers and she was currently compiling an e-mail reminding them of their promises. The workshop was for people at entry level into SAMCODES reporting.</p> <p>The other one of potential interest to SAMREC members was an Geoscience Communications event that the GSSA was holding on 30 May. It was purely online and was a presentation around visual connection; how to get the best out of your maps and diagrams, etc. That was being presented by an international presenter who usually does these presentations to European university PhD students. There was also a speaker talking about readability and textual choice, i.e. how the words that you use lead or mislead your audience. Tim would talk about building better CPRs. That might be of interest to people and the Geoscience Communication flyer had been put in the document that was sent out.</p> <p>There were a number of courses being planned and she suggested maybe Camielah, Sam or Steve could give further detail. Steve believed there were some things in the pipeline.</p> <p>Tarryn advised that the two GSSA courses would be added to the SAMCodes App and Camielah was asked to have these and any other upcoming courses put on the website. These would also be included on the App.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> Tarryn Flitton and Camielah Jardine</p> <p>Tarryn agreed that the text chosen in reports would be critical. She cited the example of 'exploitation' could be perceived as being quite a negative term.</p> <p>Steve advised that he had done an Introduction to the Codes for SAIMM around June or July 2022. Some years there were only a handful of people, but last year there had been quite a large number. He would discuss this with Camielah in terms of whether this should be done this year. This was a YPC initiative.</p> <p><b>Action:</b> Steve Rupprecht</p>
<p><b>9. Succession Planning</b></p>	<p>Ken mentioned at the end of the last meeting that he had been sitting as Chair of this committee for the last ten years. He was really looking for someone to take up the reins. Tarryn was happy to stay on as Vice-Chair, but someone else really needed to take up the reins as Chair.</p> <p>Anyone interested should let Ken know if they were keen, to discuss what was involved. She asked anyone interested to approach Ken in this regard.</p> <p>Steve stressed that it was very time-consuming and anyone who volunteered needed to take that into account. It was important that whoever took that role could run with it and take it seriously, especially with a rewrite coming up.</p>

	Tarryn suggested that a rewrite might require project management rather than leaving it to a committee, as it could be up to a two- to three-year process.
<b>10. General</b>	<p>Steve asked if the committee could just prompt Tim Rowland on potentially running a version II of the 'Implications of S-K 1300 for dual listed companies on the JSE and NYSE exchanges' workshop, especially as comments were starting to be received from the SEC to the companies on where their thinking was on things that had gone wrong on the TRS's.</p> <p>Tarryn asked whether Steve was thinking about another workshop or something else.</p> <p>Steve believed it should be an open workshop with people learning from it. It did prompt some consideration from the SAMREC Code. He agreed to follow up with Tim Rowland around this. <b>Action:</b> Steve Rupprecht</p> <p>Camielah was asked whether the attendees of the 'Implications of S-K 1300 for dual listed companies on the JSE and NYSE exchanges' workshop in this meeting were able to access the videos of the meetings and whether these had been circulated. She agreed to check back on that. <b>Action:</b> Camielah Jardine</p> <p>Tania noted that there had been some communication between Tim, Andy McDonald and Sifiso, so they were working in the background on that.</p> <p>Tarryn noted that Andy McDonald had raised the question of sponsorship of the SAMCODES. They were looking for sponsorship for both the website and the app. They were looking for a representative from the sub-committees to find sponsors. She asked members to let Andy know if they would like to be involved. <b>Action:</b> All Members</p>
<b>11. Closure</b>	Tarryn thanked all members for their input.
<b>Next meeting</b>	The next meeting was scheduled 09h45 on 25 May 2023

## Matters arising/Action Items

Meeting Date	Action	Responsible	Due	Status	Comments
25/11/21	Put forward questions for discussion under 'Technical Discussions'	All members	Ongoing		
25/11/21	Advise CRIRSCO member companies from non-English speaking countries to edit their translations of the template thoroughly	Ken Lomborg	Ongoing		
23/02/22	Tie in with Camielah/Sam re upcoming courses & training for App	Tarryn Flitton	Ongoing		
23/02/23	Invite a representative from SAMVAL, SAMESG and SAMOG to sit on SAMREC Committee meetings to share commonalities. This to be taken up with SSC.	Ken Lomborg	Feb 2023		
24/11/22	Provide Tania Marshall with items for newsletter before end November	Tarryn Flitton	Ongoing		
24/11/22	Circulate draft re changes to Standard Definitions	Ken Lomborg	30/11/22		
24/11/22	Liaise with other SAMCODES Committees in terms of face-to-face/hybrid meetings	Ken Lomborg	Feb 2023		