

**SAMREC**  
**MINUTES OF THE SAMREC WORKING GROUP MEETING**  
**HELD ON 28 NOVEMBER 2013 AT 09:30**  
**IN THE DELVILLE WOOD ROOM MILITARY MUSEUM**

<b>Present:</b>	<b>M Austin</b> <b>A de Bruyn</b> <b>T Flitton</b> <b>T Marshall</b>	<b>K Lomberg</b>	<b>(Chairman)</b> <b>B Naicker</b> <b>S Mathuray</b> <b>J Nel</b> <b>M Tlala</b>
<b>Apologies/Absent:</b>	<b>J Botha</b> <b>R Croll</b> <b>R Davel</b> <b>M Davidson</b> <b>P-J Grabe</b> <b>K Johnstone</b> <b>M Lynne</b> <b>A Macfarlane</b>		<b>M McWha</b> <b>Prof C Musingwini</b> <b>J Odendaal</b> <b>L Scheepers</b> <b>E Takolia</b> <b>A van As</b> <b>J Visser</b>
<b>In Attendance:</b>	<b>S Moolla – Manager, SAIMM</b> <b>J Carpenter (Recordist)</b>		

---

**1. Welcome**

Mr Lomberg welcomed all to the meeting. He stated that attendance at the meeting was unfortunately low in view of the importance of the issues to be discussed. The meeting would, however, go ahead.

**2. Minutes of the previous meeting**

The minutes of the meeting held on 31 October 2013 were accepted as a true reflection of proceedings.

**3. Matters Arising**

There were no items under this heading.

**4. Report-back from CRIRSCO (Bogota, Columbia)**

CRIRSCO is looking for more countries to participate in global initiatives and thus far Columbia, Mongolia and Argentina have indicated their interest in joining in discussions. It was noted that newcomers tend to adopt the current CRIRSCO code, translating it into their home language.

Issues tabled to CRIRSCO from SAMREC:

- a. Some proposed definitions are problematic. SAMREC tabled its definitions in respect of PEA, PFS and Feasibility Study to CRIRSCO and these caused some consternation. CRIRSCO considers some definitions as being cast in stone and these cannot be changed (Mineral Resource, Reserve, Measured, Indicated, Inferred and Probable). CRIRSCO wants its current template definitions (15 in total) to be used. Mr Lomberg suggested that the definitions as they are should remain but under the “commentary” section. Definitions will be reviewed cyclically and at these reviews new proposals can be put forward. SAMREC will have to use CRIRSCO's definitions but with explanatory notes on how it wants the definitions to be read/applied. The CRIRSCO template has Scoping, Pre-feasibility and Feasibility definitions.

- b. Kumba has asked that “saleable product” and “mineral reserves” be put into the definitions. CRIRSCO has asked that the point of reference be defined; the point at which the product is valued (at the port, at the mine gate?) and include the specifications of the product (i.e. CV).

Canada and Australia Readers’ panels – it was reported that 80% of reports do not pass readers’ panels due to submissions not being adequately or accurately populated. It is therefore prudent that reigning in the writing of reports be done in South Africa to ensure that a better “pass rate” is attained. One suggestion was to set up a sub-committee that does CPR training (perhaps through the SAIMM’s TPC Mining).

Mr Lomborg stated that he is keen to put together a companion/guideline to the Code.

#### **5. Discussion on exclusion of GSSA and SAIMM membership for CP**

He advised that SAMVAL is considering the option that members of the GSSA and SAIMM cannot be considered as Competent Persons based on their membership only. This is more or less in line with Australia where members of learned societies are not considered CPs.

Extensive discussion and debate then took place on the issue of what is the profile of a CP; is a CP’s report accountable to one person only or a group of people who had input into the report and should CPs be registered, and, if so, with which entity?

It was suggested that the WG go to the SSC to determine if it (the WG) has the mandate to make the decision as to whether or not members of the GSSA and SAIMM can be considered CPs.

#### **6. Table 1 – discussion**

The Australian checklist has five sections: sample, exploration results, estimation on resources, estimations on reserves and the final one is diamonds. The Canadians have NI43-101 as their checklist of 27 items.

Mr Lomborg suggested that SAMREC have ten category headings, more or less encompassing the headings/content in NI43-101.

He would circulate the suggested Table 1 and await comments/inputs.

#### **7. Progression in the SAMREC Code rewrite**

As there was not enough time, this was not discussed.

#### **8. General**

There was nothing further to discuss.

The meeting concluded at 11:38.

#### **SUMMARY OF KEY ACTION ITEMS**

**Chairman:**

**Distribute proposed Table 1 for comment/input.**

**Check with the SSC if the WG has the authority to determine if membership only of the SAIMM/GSSA is qualification enough for registration as a CP.**

**Consider setting up a training course under TPC Mining at which training in the writing of CPRs can be given to ensure an adequate “pass rate” before the Readers’ Panel.**

**Consider Kumba’s request for “saleable product” as a definition and determine the point of reference attached thereto (port/mine gate?).**