

MINUTES OF THE SAMVAL WORKSHOP

HELD ON 4 JUNE 2014 AT 09:00

AT THE MILITARY MUSEUM, DELVLE ROOM

Present:	V Duke (Acting Chairman)	
	R Croll	Dr J McGill
	A Clay	K Redman
	A Kinghorn	J Ruddy
	S Laubscher	M R Tlala
	Dr T Marshall	
Apologies/Absent:	M Austin	J Luckmann
	Dr R Becker	A Macfarlane
	V Clark-Mostert	A McDonald
	R Davel	Prof C Musingwini
	A de Bruyn	E Takolia
	H Hartmann	
In Attendance:	S Moolla	Manager, SAIMM
	J Carpenter	Recordist and minutes

1. Welcome

In the absence of the Chairman, Mr Duke chaired the meeting.

2. Acceptance of previous minutes with reference to actions noted

The minutes of the meeting held on 25 March 2014 were accepted with no changes or amendments.

3. Matters arising

The appointment of a new Chairman: this was to be decided at this meeting.

4. Finalisation of draft Code

The draft Code was presented on the screen to the meeting.

Dr Marshall expressed her concern in respect of "preliminary economic assessment (PEA)". A concern was raised at the SAMREC working group meeting as to an inconsistency in the definition of PEA between SAMREC and SAMVAL. NI43-101 states that PEA can be based entirely or partially on "inferred resources". JORC defined a scoping study as essentially the same thing and as part of their explanation of their definition they stated that the scoping study could be supported by inferred resources or exploration results. The PERC Code reads similar to the JORC Code but includes in its notes that it has to be partially supported by inferred resources, not exploration results. At that time SAMVAL went with the same definition as PERC but called it PEA. However, CRIRSCO did not agree with "preliminary economic assessment" and wants "scoping study" in the SAMREC Code – this was to be the standardised wording. SAMREC therefore changed PEA to scoping study, which could include inferred resources but would **not** include exploration results or targets. The SME draft also uses the term scoping study but its notes states that it can be partially or wholly supported by inferred resources, **not** exploration targets (clause 48). SAMREC does not specifically state

"partially or wholly". If the move internationally is towards "partially or wholly" then SAMREC will have to consider this issue.

Effectively SAMREC wants the wording "exploration results" removed from the definition of PEA.

Mr Clay stated that clarity may have to be sought from Canada to get its alignment. Canada's equivalent to SAMREC uses the term PEA whilst South Africa and Australia use "scoping study".

The definition of PEA was changed directly into the presented draft Code, as per wording suggested by Dr Marshall. Mr Macfarlane will be consulted on the new wording.

Action: ALASTAIR MACFARLANE

5. Definition of process for exposure and public comment

The Secretariat will follow the same, or very similar, approval process as done for SAMOG. It was agreed that the approval process for SAMVAL will run in parallel with the SAMREC approval process as the two Codes operate in tandem.

Mr Croll stated that discussions over CV registration/competence etc. have gone on for too long. He stated that unless the issue is resolved, then the rewrite is ineffective. He stated that it is the responsibility of the SSC to take the final decisions in respect of CV/CP issues. The SSC will be lobbied to take a decision on the matter.

Action: ALASTAIR MACFARLANE/ANDY CLAY

6. Election of a new Chairman

Mr Macfarlane was applauded for his efforts on the rewrite of the Code.

The SAMVAL working group is tasked with identifying several suitable candidates for the positions of Chairman and Deputy Chairman and these names will go forward to the SSC. Mr Croll also suggested that the WG should consider younger candidates in order to "pass along the baton".

Mrs Kelly Redman offered herself as a candidate for the position of Chairman.
Mr Kinghorn offered himself as a candidate for Deputy Chairman.

This would be finalised at the next meeting.

The working group will consider what funding mechanism can be used to assist with funding of travelling costs for the Chairman.

Ms Redman informed the meeting that she is leaving Anglo at the end of June so a new appointee from that company will join the WG. Ms Redman will remain on the working group as an independent consultant.

7. Closing

The meeting ended at 11:00.

Subsequent to the meeting the date of 8 July 2014 for the next meeting was changed to 26 June 2014.

SUMMARY OF KEY ACTION ITEMS

Secretariat:

All topics listed under “unresolved” in the draft Code must be copied onto PP slides for distribution and comment. Include “metallurgists” under “unresolved”.

Revise the approval process schedule as tabled with aspirant approval dates.

Ensure that Alastair Macfarlane signs off on all changes and takes the new Chairperson through the Code.

Consider a suitable launch profile for the revised Code.

Send an email to all working group members asking for names of suitable candidates for Chairman and Deputy Chairman.

Vaughn Duke:

Prepare and check slides as discussed.